

Let  $S$  be a set. Let  $R \subseteq S \times S$ . We say that  $R$  is **relation defined over  $S$** . We will write  $aRb$  as a short hand notation for  $(a, b) \in R$  (or  $b \in R(a)$ ).

**Definition 1.** A relation  $R$  defined over  $S$  is said to be **reflexive** if  $aRa$  for all  $a \in S$ .  $R$  is **symmetric** if whenever  $aRb$  holds,  $bRa$  also holds for all  $a, b \in S$ .  $R$  is said to be **transitive** if for all  $a, b, c \in S$ , whenever  $aRb$  and  $bRc$ , we have  $aRc$ .  $R$  is said to be irreflexive, asymmetric or intransitive when it is respectively not reflexive or not symmetric or not transitive.  $R$  is said to be **anti-symmetric** if both  $aRb$  and  $bRa$  will hold simultaneously if and only if  $a = b$  for any  $a, b \in S$ .

Note that the equality relation  $' = ' = \{(a, a) | a \in S\}$  is reflexive, symmetric and transitive (why?).

The notation  $\mathbf{C}$ ,  $\mathbf{R}$ ,  $\mathbf{Q}$ ,  $\mathbf{Z}$  and  $\mathbf{N}$  respectively will denote the set of complex, real, rational, integer and natural numbers. We use  $\mathbf{Z}_n$  to denote the set  $\{0, 1, 2, \dots, n - 1\}$ . Addition and multiplication in  $\mathbf{Z}_n$  is performed mod  $n$ . Thus, if  $a, b \in \mathbf{Z}_n$ , then  $a + b$  denotes  $a + b \pmod n$  and  $ab$  denotes  $ab \pmod n$ . The '=' symbol will always represent the identify relation (that is,  $\{(a, a) : a \in S\}$ , where  $S$  is the domain  $S$  under consideration). A relation  $R$  is a function when for each  $a \in S$ ,  $R(a)$  is a singleton set.

**Exercise 1.** Consider the following examples:

1. Let  $S = \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$  (the set of pairs of reals or simply the Cartesian plane). Let  $R = \{(x, y) | x + y = 1\}$ . Is  $R$  a function? If so is it surjective? injective? bijective? If bijective, find  $f^{-1}$ .
2. Let  $S = \mathbf{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ . Let  $R = \{(a, b) | a \text{ divides } b\}$ . (Note 0 does not divide any number, but every non-zero element in  $\mathbf{N}$  divides 0). Is  $R$  reflexive? symmetric? anti-symmetric? transitive?
3. Let  $S = \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{N} - \{0\}$ . (That is  $S$  consists of pairs of integers, with the second number in the pair being a positive integer). Let  $R = \{(p, q), (r, s) | ps = qr\}$ . Is  $R$  a) reflexive? b) symmetric? c) anti-symmetric? d) transitive?
4. Show that if  $R$  (over  $S$ ) is reflexive, anti-symmetric, symmetric and transitive, then  $R$  must be the identity relation.
5. Let  $S = \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$ , show that the relation  $R = \{(x, y), (x', y') | ax + by = ax' + by'\}$  is reflexive, symmetric and transitive. What is the geometric property captured by the relation? (That is, if points  $(x, y)$  and  $(x', y')$  are related, what can you say about their positions in the two dimensional coordinate system?)
6. Show that a relation  $R$  defined over any set  $S$  is symmetric if and only if  $R = R^{-1}$ .

**Definition 2.** A relation is an **equivalence relation** if it is reflexive, symmetric and transitive.

The notation  $M_n(\mathbf{R})$  or  $M_n(\mathbf{C})$  will denote  $n \times n$  matrices with real/complex entries.  $GL_n(\mathbf{R})$  or  $GL_n(\mathbf{C})$  denotes the set of  $n \times n$  non-singular real matrices (i.e.,  $n \times n$  real matrices with non-zero determinant).

If  $n$  is a positive integer and  $a, b$  integers, the notation  $a \equiv b \pmod n$  will be used to say that  $(a - b)$  is divisible by  $n$ .

**Exercise 2.** Show that the relation  $R$  defined in each case is an equivalence relation.

1. In  $M_n(\mathbf{R})$  define the relation  $R = \{(A, B) : \exists P \in GL_n(\mathbf{R}) \text{ such that } A = PBP^{-1}\}$ . We say matrices  $A$  and  $B$  are **similar** if  $(A, B) \in R$ . Find  $R^{-1}(GL_n(\mathbf{R}))$ ?
2. Let  $n$  be a positive integer. Over  $\mathbf{Z}$ , define  $R = \{(a, b) : a \equiv b \pmod n\}$ .

**Exercise 3.** If  $R_1, R_2$  are equivalence relations over a set  $S$ , so is  $R_1 \cap R_2$ . Is it always true that  $R_1 \cup R_2$  is an equivalence relation?

A collection of *disjoint* subsets of a set  $S$  define a *partitioning* of  $S$  if each set in the collection is non-empty and their union is  $S$ .

**Definition 3.** Let  $S$  be any set. A collection  $\{S_i\}_{i \in I}$  of subsets of  $S$  is a **partitioning** of  $S$  if 1.)  $S_i \neq \emptyset$  for each  $i \in I$  2.)  $S_i \cap S_j = \emptyset$  if  $i \neq j$  and 3.  $\bigcup_{i \in I} S_i = S$ . Each set  $S_i$  in a given partitioning of  $S$  is called an **equivalence class** in the partitioning.

We next investigate the connection between equivalence relations and partitions.

**Example 1.** The following are examples of partitions.

1. Let  $S, T$  be non-empty sets. Let  $f : S \mapsto T$  be a surjective function. Then,  $\{f^{-1}(t)\}_{t \in T}$  is a partitioning of  $S$ .
2. Consider  $S = \mathbf{R}^2$ . Let  $a, b$  be positive real numbers. For each real number  $t$ , define  $S_t = \{(x, y) : x, y \in \mathbf{R}, ax + by = t\}$ . Show that  $\{S_t\}_{t \in \mathbf{R}}$  is a partitioning of  $S$ . (Each equivalence class consists of points in a line parallel to  $ax + by = 0$ .)
3. Consider  $S = \mathbf{Z}$ . Let  $n$  be a positive integer. Let  $I = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n - 1\}$  For each  $k \in I$  define  $S_k = \{j \in \mathbf{Z} : j \equiv k \pmod n\}$ . Show that  $\{S_k\}_{k \in I}$  is a partitioning of  $\mathbf{Z}$ .

**Exercise 4.** Let  $S$  be a non-empty set and let  $I$  be any non-empty index set. Let  $\{S_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a partitioning of  $S$ . Define relation  $R$  on  $S$ ,  $R = \{(a, b) : \exists i \in I, a, b \in S_i\}$ . Show that  $R$  is an equivalence relation.

The next exercise shows that every equivalence relation  $R$  defined on a set  $S$  induces a partitioning of  $S$ . Hence, in view of the exercise above, the notions of equivalence relations and partitions are the same.

**Exercise 5.** Let  $R$  be an equivalence relation defined on a non-empty set  $S$ . This exercise proves that  $\{R(a)\}_{a \in S}$  is a partitioning of  $S$ .

1. Show that for each  $a \in S$ ,  $R(a) \neq \emptyset$ .
2. Show that  $\bigcup_{a \in S} R(a) = S$ .
3. Show that for any  $a, b \in S$ ,  $a \neq b$ ,  $R(a) \cap R(b) \neq \emptyset$  the  $R(a) = R(b)$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $a \neq b$  and  $R(a) \cap R(b) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $z \in R(a) \cap R(b)$ . Let  $x$  be an arbitrary element in  $R(a)$ . We will show that  $x \in R(b)$  as well, proving that  $R(a) \subseteq R(b)$ . The proof  $R(b) \subseteq R(a)$  is similar.

By assumption  $aRz$ . By symmetry of  $R$  we conclude  $zRa$ . Similarly, by assumption  $bRz$ . From transitivity of  $R$ , we conclude  $bRa$ . Now, by symmetry of  $R$  we get  $aRb$ .

Since  $x \in R(a)$ , we have  $aRx$ . By symmetry we get  $xRa$ . From  $xRa$  and  $aRb$ , by transitivity of  $R$ , we get  $xRb$ . By symmetry, we have  $bRx$  or  $x \in R(b)$ .  $\square$

**Exercise 6.** How many equivalence relations are possible over the set  $\{1, 2, 3\}$ ? How many equivalence relations are possible over the set  $S = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ ?

**Definition 4.** Let  $R$  be an equivalence relation over a non-empty set  $S$ . The **index** of  $R$  is the number of equivalence classes in the partition of  $S$   $\{R(a)\}_{a \in S}$  defined by  $R$ .

**Exercise 7.** Let  $S = \mathbf{R}$ . Let  $R$  be defined by  $xRy$  if  $x - y \in \mathbf{Z}$ . Show that  $R$  is an equivalence relation. Show the the index of  $R$  is not finite.

**Exercise 8.** Let  $n = 24$  and  $S = \mathbf{Z}_n$ . Define  $R = \{(a, b) \in \mathbf{Z}_n : \text{GCD}(a, n) = \text{GCD}(b, n)\}$ . Show that  $R$  is an equivalence relation. What is the index of  $R$ ?

**Exercise 9.** Let  $S$  be any non-empty set. Consider the set  $2^{S \times S}$  (that is collection of all subsets of of  $S \times S$ ) be the set of all relations defined over  $S$ . Define an injective function  $f : S \mapsto 2^{S \times S}$  such that: 1.)  $f$  is injective 2.) For each  $a \in S$ ,  $f(a)$  is an equivalence relation. (This exercise shows that the number of equivalence relations over a non-empty set is “as least as many” as the number of elements in  $S$ ).