

# Chapter 4

## Posets and Zorn's lemma

**Definition 4.1 (Poset).** A partially ordered set or poset is a pair  $(X, \leq)$  where  $X$  is a set and  $\leq$  is a relation on  $X$  satisfying:

1. Reflexivity:  $x \leq x, \forall x \in X$ .
2. Antisymmetry: if  $x \leq y$  and  $y \leq x$  then  $x = y, \forall x, y \in X$ .
3. Transitivity: if  $x \leq y$  and  $y \leq z$  then  $x \leq z, \forall x, y, z \in X$ .

Write  $x < y$  for  $x \leq y$  and  $x \neq y$ . Alternatively in terms of  $<$ ,  $\nexists x : x < x, x < y$  and  $y < z$  implies  $x < z$ .

**Example 4.2.**  $(\mathbb{N}, \leq), (\mathbb{Q}, \leq)$  and  $(\mathbb{R}, \leq)$  are posets (in fact total orders).

**Example 4.3.**  $(\mathbb{N}^+, |)$  where  $(x|y$  means  $x$  divides  $y)$  is not a poset.

**Example 4.4.**  $S$  a set.  $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}(S)$  with  $A \leq B$  if  $A \subseteq B$ .

**Definition 4.5 (Hasse diagram).** A Hasse diagram for a poset is a drawing of the points in the poset with an upwards line from  $x$  to  $y$  if  $y$  covers  $x$  (meaning  $x < y$  and  $\nexists z : x < z < y$ ).

Sometimes a Hasse diagram can be drawn for an infinite poset. For example  $(\mathbb{N}, \leq)$  but  $(\mathbb{Q}, \leq)$  has an empty Hasse diagram.

**Definition 4.6 (Chain).** A chain in a poset  $X$  is a set  $A \subseteq X$  that is totally ordered ( $\forall x, y \in A : \text{have } x \leq y \text{ or } y \leq x$ ).

For example in  $(\mathbb{R}, \leq)$  any subset, like  $(\mathbb{Q}, \leq)$  is a chain. Note that a chain need not be countable.

**Definition 4.7 (Antichain).** An antichain is a subset  $A \subseteq X$  in which no two distinct elements are comparable.  $\forall x, y : x \neq y, \text{ neither } x \leq y \text{ nor } y \leq x$ .

**Definition 4.8 (Upper bound).** For  $S \subseteq X$  and  $x \in X$ , say  $x$  is an upper bound for  $S$  if  $y \leq x \forall y \in S$ .

**Definition 4.9 (Least upper bound, supremum,  $\wedge S$ ).**  $x$  is a least upper bound for  $S \subseteq X$  if  $x$  is an upper bound for  $S$  and every upper bound  $y$  for  $S$  satisfies  $x \leq y$ .

Clearly unique if it exists. Write  $x = \wedge S = \sup S$  the supremum or join of  $S$ .

**Definition 4.10 (Complete).** A poset is complete if every set has a supremum.

**Observation 4.11.** Every complete poset  $X$  has a greatest element,  $\wedge X$  and a least element  $\wedge \emptyset$ .

**Definition 4.12 (Monotone, order preserving).** A function  $f : X \mapsto X$ ,  $X$  a poset, is monotone or order preserving if  $x \leq y$  implies  $f(x) \leq f(y)$ .

**Theorem 4.13 (Knaster-Tarski fixed point theorem).**  $X$  a complete poset,  $f : X \mapsto X$  order preserving. Then  $f$  has a fixed point.

*Proof.* Let  $E = \{x \in X : x \leq f(x)\}$ . Possibly  $E = \emptyset$ .

Claim. If  $x \in E$  then  $f(x) \in E$ . Proof.  $x \leq f(x)$  so  $f(x) \leq f(f(x))$  as  $f$  order preserving. So  $f(x) \in E$ .

Let  $s = \wedge E$ .

Claim.  $s \in E$ . True if  $f(s)$  an upper bound for  $E$  (so  $s \leq f(s)$ ). If  $x \in E$ ,  $x \leq s$  so  $f(x) \leq f(s)$ . But  $x \in E$  so  $x \leq f(x) \leq f(s)$ . So  $f(s)$  is an upper bound for  $E$ .

So  $f(s)$  in  $E$  by first claim. So  $f(s) \leq s$  but second claim showed  $s \leq f(s)$  so  $f(s) = s$ . □

**Corollary 4.14 (Schröder-Bernstein theorem).**  $A, B$  have injections  $f : A \mapsto B$  and  $g : B \mapsto A$  then  $A, B$  biject.

*Proof.* Want partitions  $A = P \cup Q$  and  $B = R \cup S$  such that  $f_p$  bijects  $P$  with  $R$  and  $g_s$  bijects  $S$  with  $Q$ .

Then define obvious bijection  $h : A \mapsto B$  by taking  $h = f$  on  $P$  and  $h = g^{-1}$  on  $Q$ .

Set  $P \subseteq A : A \setminus g(B \setminus f(P)) = P$ ,  $R = f(P)$ ,  $S = B \setminus R$ ,  $Q = g(S)$ . Consider  $(X = \mathbb{P}(A), \subseteq)$ .  $X$  complete. Define  $\theta : X \mapsto X$ .  $\theta(P) = A \setminus g(B \setminus f(P))$ . Then  $\theta$  is order preserving so it has a fixed point by Knaster-Tarski. □

**Definition 4.15 (Chain-complete).** A (non-empty) poset  $X$  is chain-complete if every non-empty chain has a supremum.

**Observation 4.16.** Not all functions on chain-complete posets have fixed points. Any function on an anti-chain is order preserving.

**Observation 4.17.** The non-empty condition is a little pedantic but necessary.

**Definition 4.18 (Inflationary).**  $f : X \mapsto X$  is inflationary if  $x \leq f(x) \forall x \in X$ .

Not necessarily related to order preserving.

**Theorem 4.19 (Bourbaki-Witt theorem).**  $X$  is a chain-complete poset,  $f : X \mapsto X$  inflationary. Then  $f$  has a fixed point.

*Proof.* This proof is like battling Godzilla on a tightrope, it has to be carefully choreographed. Although the theorem seems fairly plausible, it has many big consequences.

Fix  $x_0 \in X$ . Say  $A \subseteq X$  closed if

1.  $x_0 \in A$
2.  $x \in A$  implies  $f(x) \in A$
3.  $C$  a non-empty chain in  $A$  implies  $\wedge C \in A$ .

Note that any intersection of closed sets is closed.

Let  $E = \bigcap_{A \text{ closed}} A$  is closed. Therefore if  $A \subseteq E$  then  $A = E$ .

Assume  $E$  is a chain. Let  $s = \wedge E$ . Then  $s \in E$  as  $E$  is closed. Therefore  $f(s) \in E$ . So  $f(s) \leq s$ . So  $f(s) = s$  as  $f$  inflationary. So done.

Claim.  $E$  is a chain.

Say  $x \in E$  is normal if  $\forall y \in E : y < x$  then  $f(y) \leq x$ .

There are two properties of normality we want prove. All  $x \in E$  are normal. Secondly, it should satisfy the condition we might naturally describe as "normal": if  $x$  normal then  $\forall y \in E$  either  $y \leq x$  or  $y \geq f(x)$ .

Once we have done this, we are finished.  $\forall x, y \in E, y \leq x$  or  $y \geq f(x) \geq x$ . So  $E$  is a chain.

Claim. If  $x$  normal then  $\forall y \in E$  either  $y \leq x$  or  $y \geq f(x)$ .

Proof of claim. Let  $A = \{y \in E : y \leq x \text{ or } y \geq f(x)\}$ . Will show  $A$  is closed. Any closed subset of  $E$  is  $E$  so  $A$  closed implies  $A = E$ .

1.  $x_0 \in A$ .  $x_0 \leq x$  ( $\forall x \in E$ ).
2. Given  $y \in A$  we need  $f(y) \in A$ . So have  $y \leq x$  or  $y \geq f(x)$  and want  $f(y) \leq x$  or  $f(y) \geq f(x)$ .
  - If  $y < x$  then  $f(y) \leq x$  as  $x$  is normal.
  - If  $y = x$  then  $f(y) \geq f(x)$ .
  - If  $y \geq f(x)$  then  $f(y) \geq y \geq f(x)$ .

So  $f(y) \in A$ .

3. Given a (non-empty) chain  $C \subseteq A$ , want  $s = \wedge A \in A$ .
  - If all  $y \in C$  have  $y \leq x$  then certainly  $s \leq x$  because  $s$  a supremum. Otherwise some  $y \in C$  has  $y \geq x$  and not  $y \leq x$  so  $y \geq f(x)$  as  $y \in A$ . So  $s \geq y \geq f(x)$ . So  $s \in A$ .

So  $A$  closed, so  $A$  closed subset of smallest possible closed set  $E$  so  $A = E$ .

Claim. Every  $x \in E$  is normal.

Proof of claim. Let  $N = \{x \in E : x \text{ is normal}\}$ . We will show that  $N$  is closed so  $N = E$ .

$N$  is closed:

1. No  $y \in E$  has  $y < x_0$ . So  $x_0$  is normal,  $x_0 \in N$ .
2. Given  $x$  normal want  $f(x)$  normal. So must show  $y < f(x)$  implies  $f(y) \leq f(x)$ . By first claim  $y < f(x)$  implies  $y \leq x$ . So  $y = x$  or  $y < x$ . So  $f(y) = f(x)$  or  $f(y) \leq x \leq f(x)$  (because  $x$  is normal).
3. Given a (non-empty) chain  $C \subseteq N$  need  $s = \wedge C \in N$ . That is, we need that if  $y < s$  then  $f(y) \leq s \forall y \in E$ .
  - For  $y < s$  cannot have  $y \geq x \forall x \in C$  (definition of supremum). So some  $x \in C$  has not  $y \geq x$ , so  $y < x$  by the first claim. So  $f(y) \leq x$  ( $x$  normal) so certainly  $f(y) \leq s$ .

So  $N$  closed so  $N = E$ . So  $E$  is a chain.

□

**Observation 4.20.** “Now forget the proof” - Dr Leader

**Definition 4.21 (Maximal element of a poset).** Given a poset  $X$  an element  $x$  is maximal if no  $y \in X$  has  $y > x$ .

**Corollary 4.22 (Every chain-complete poset has a maximal element).** Every chain-complete poset has a maximal element.

**Observation 4.23.** Very non-obvious theorem which trivially implies Bourbaki-Witt ( $x$  maximal implies  $f(x) = x$ ).

*Proof.* By contradiction. For each  $x \in X$  have  $\bar{x} \in X$  with  $\bar{x} > x$ . Then the function  $x \mapsto \bar{x}$  is inflationary. So it has a fixed point. Contradiction.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.24 (One important chain-complete poset).** Let  $X$  be any poset and let  $P$  be the collection of all chains of  $X$  ordered by inclusion. Then  $P$  is chain complete.

*Proof.* Let  $\{C_i : i \in I\}$  be a chain in  $P$ .  $C_i$  is a chain in  $X$  for all  $i \in I$ . Note that  $I$  need not be countable. Further  $\forall i, j \in I$   $C_i \subseteq C_j$  or  $C_j \subseteq C_i$ .

Now let  $C = \cup_{i \in I} C_i$ .  $C$  is clearly a least upper bound for  $\{C_i\}$ . We need to show that it is a chain.

Let  $x, y \in C$ . So  $\exists i, j : x \in C_i$  and  $y \in C_j$ . So  $C_i \subseteq C_j$  or  $C_j \subseteq C_i$ . So  $x, y$  related. So  $C$  a chain.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.25 (Kuratowski's lemma).** Every poset  $X$  has a maximal chain.

*Proof.* The set of chains of  $X$  is a chain-complete poset.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.26 (Zorn's lemma).** Let  $X$  be a (non-empty) poset in which every chain has an upper bound. Then  $X$  has a maximal element.

*Proof.* Let  $C$  be a maximal chain in  $X$ . Let  $x$  be an upper bound for  $C$ . Then  $x$  is maximal. If  $y > x$  then  $C \cup \{y\}$  is a chain properly containing  $C$ . Contradiction.  $\square$

**Observation 4.27.** Non-emptiness actually not needed as it follows from the condition that every chain has an upper bound.

**Corollary 4.28 (Every vector space  $V$  has a basis).** Every vector space  $V$  has a basis.

*Proof.* Let  $X = \{A \subseteq V : A \text{ is linearly independent}\}$  ordered by inclusion. We seek the existence of maximal element  $A \in X$  using Zorn's lemma. Then we are done because if  $A$  does not span  $V$  it is not maximal.

1.  $\emptyset$  is linearly independent. So  $\emptyset \in X$ . So  $X \neq \emptyset$ .
2. Given a chain  $\{A_i : i \in I\}$  in  $X$  we seek an upper bound  $S$ . Let  $S = \cup_{i \in I} A_i$ . Then  $S \supseteq A_i \forall i$  so we just need  $S \in X$  (that is,  $S$  linearly independent).  
Suppose  $\lambda_1 x_1 + \lambda_2 x_2 + \dots + \lambda_n x_n = 0$  for some  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in A$  and  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  not all zero. Have  $A_m \in X$  such that  $A_m$  contains all the  $x_i$  because  $X$  is a chain. But this contradicts  $A_m$  being linearly independent. So  $S \in X$ . So every chain has an upper bound.