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## Computational geometry

- Study of geometric problems that arise in various applications and how geometric algorithms can help to solve well-defined versions of such problems
- Application areas that require geometric algorithms are computer graphics, motion planning and robotics, geographic information systems, CAD/CAM, statistics, physics simulations, databases, games, multimedia retrieval


## Computational geometry history

Early 70s: First attention for geometric problems from algorithms researchers
1976: First PhD thesis in computational geometry (Michael Shamos) 1985: First Annual ACM Symposium on Computational Geometry. Also: first textbook
1996: CGAL: first serious implementation effort for robust geometric algorithms
1997: First handbook on computational geometry (second one in 2000)
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## Convexity



A shape or set is CONVEX if for any two points that are part of the shape, the whole connecting line segment is also part of the shape

## Convex hull



For any subset of the plane (set of points, rectangle, simple polygon), its convex hull is the smallest CONVEX SET that contains that subset.

## Convex hull problem



Give an algorithm that computes the convex hull of any given set of $n$ points in the plane efficiently.

The input has $2 n$ coordinates, so $O(n)$ size
For non-negative functions, $f(n)$ and $g(n)$, if there exists an integer $n_{0}$ and a constant $c>0$ such that for all integers $n>n_{0}, f(n) \leq c g(n)$, then $f(n)$ is big 0 of $(g(n))$. This is denoted as $f(n)=O(g(n))$.
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## Convex hull problem

- Property The vertices of the convex hull are always points from the input
- Consequently, the edges of the convex hull connect two points of the input
- Property The supporting line of any convex hull edge has all input points to one side
- All points lie right of the directed line from $p$ to $q$, if the edge from $p$ to $q$ is a CW convex hull edge.
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## Convex hull problem

- Property The vertices of the convex hull are always points from the input
- Consequently, the edges of the convex hull connect two points of the input
- Property The supporting line of any convex hull edge has all input points to one side
- All points lie right of the directed line from $p$ to $q$, if the edge from $p$ to $q$ is a CW convex hull edge.
- Algorithm?


## An incremental Algorithm



An incremental Algorithm

- Incremental, from left to right
- Let's first compute the upper boundary of the convex hull this way (property: on the upper hull, points appear in x-order)
- Main idea: Sort the points from left to right (= by x-coordinate).
- Then insert the points in this order, and maintain the upper hull so far


## An incremental Algorithm



An incremental Algorithm

- Observation: from left to right, there are only right turns on the upper hull
- Initialize by inserting the leftmost two points
- If we add the third point there will be a right turn at the previous point, so we add it.
- If we add the fourth point we get a left turn at the third point
- So we remove the third point from the upper hull when we add the fourth
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## The pseudo-code

## Algorithm 1 ConvexHull( $(P)$

Input: A set $P$ of points in the plane
Output: A list containing the vertices of $C H(P)$ in clockwise order
1: Sort the points by $x$-coordinate, resulting in a sequence $p_{1} . p_{2}, \cdots p_{n}$
2: Put the points $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ in a LIST UPPER, with $p_{1}$ as the first point.
3: for $i \leftarrow 3$ to $n$ do
4: Append $p_{i}$ to LIST UPPER.
5: while LIST UPPER contains more than two points and the last three points in LIST UPPER do not make a right turn do
6: Delete the middle of the last three points from LIST UPPER
7: end while
8: end for
9: Do the same for the lower convex hull, from right to left

## Algorithm Analysis

## Algorithm Analysis

- If we add the fifth point we get a left turn at the fourth point - So we remove the fourth point when we add the fifth - and so on ...
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## Algorithm Analysis

- The sorting step takes $O$ (nlogn) time
- Adding a point takes $O(1)$ time for the adding-part. Removing points takes constant time for each removed point. If due to an addition, $k$ points are removed, the step takes $O(1+k)$ time
Total time: $O(n \log n)+\sum_{3 \text { to } n} O\left(1+k_{i}\right)$

hull This gives us the fact:
$O(n \log n)$
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## Art Gallery Theorem



- The floor plan of an art gallery/museum/airport modeled as a simple polygon with $n$ vertices.
- Objective is to secure the interior of the polygon by placing guards.
- Each guard is stationed at a fixed point, has $360^{\circ}$ vision, and cannot see through the walls.
- How many guards needed to see the whole room?
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## Formulation



- Visibility: $p, q$ visible if $p q \in P$.
- $x$ is visible from $y$ and $z$. But $y$ and $z$ not visible to each other.
- $g(P)=$ min. number of guards to see $P$
- $g(n)=\max _{|V(P)|=n} g(P)$ where maximum is taken over all simple polygons with $n$ vertices
- Art Gallery Theorem asks for bounds on function $g(n)$ : what is the smallest $g(n)$ that always works for any $n$-gon?
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## Short story long:

- Problem posed to Vasek Chvatal by Victor Klee at a math conference in 1973. Chvatal solved it quickly with a complicated proof.
- Steve Fisk gave a proof from
- "THE BOOK" in which God keeps the most elegant proof of each mathematical theorem. During a lecture in 1985, Erdős said, "You don't have to believe in God, but you should believe in The Book.
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Simple Polygon


Not a simple polygon

- A simple polygon is a closed polygonal curve without self-intersection.
- By Jordan Theorem, a polygon divides the plane into interior, exterior, and boundary.
- We use polygon both for boundary and its interior; the context will make the usage clear.
- Polygons with holes are topologically different
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- For $n=3,4,5, g(n)=1$
- Is there a general formula in terms of $n$ ?
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- Is there a general formula in terms of $n$ ?
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- Seeing the boundary $\Rightarrow$ seeing the whole interior??


## Trying it Out

- Seeing the boundary $\Rightarrow$ seeing the whole interior??

- Even putting guards at every other vertex is not sufficient


## Art Gallery Theorem

Art Gallery Theorem $g(n)=\lfloor n / 3\rfloor$

- Every $n$-gon can be guarded with $\lfloor n / 3\rfloor$ vertex guards.
- Some $n$-gons require at least $\lfloor n / 3\rfloor$ (arbitrary) guards.
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## Fisk's proof from THE BOOK that $\lfloor n / 3\rfloor$ guards suffice



- Diagonal: Given a simple polygon, P, a diagonal is a line segment between two non-adjacent vertices that lies entirely within the interior of the polygon.


## Fisk's proof from THE BOOK that $\lfloor n / 3\rfloor$ guards suffice



- Diagonal: Given a simple polygon, P, a diagonal is a line segment between two non-adjacent vertices that lies entirely within the interior of the polygon.


## Fisk's proof from THE BOOK that $\lfloor n / 3\rfloor$ guards suffice



- Triangulations: Given a simple polygon $P$, a triangulation of $P$ is a partition of the interior of $P$ into triangles using diagonals.
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- Observe the polygon $P$ along with the triangulation $\mathcal{T}$ can be considered as a graph $G(P, \mathcal{T})$.
- Vertices: Polygon vertices
- Edges of the graph: Polygon edges $\bigcup$ diagonals of the triangulation
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- Why $G(P, \mathcal{T})$ is three colorable?
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- Dual graph of a polygon: Given a polygon $P$ and a triangulation $\mathcal{T}$ for that polygon, the dual graph is defined as $D(T)=(V, E)$, where $v i \in V$ corresponds to a specific triangle in $T$, and $\left(v_{a}, v_{b}\right) \in E$ if the two corresponding triangles share an edge.
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- Lemma: Dual graph of a triangulation of a simple polygon is a tree with maximum degree three.
- Deleting an edge from the dual graph breaks the graph into two connected components.
- Thus the graph is a tree.
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- Proof by Induction:
- Remove a triangle which is a leaf node in the tree.
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- Inductively 3-color the rest.
- Put the triangle back, coloring new vertex with the label not used by the boundary diagonal.
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## Fisk's proof from THE BOOK that $\lfloor n / 3\rfloor$ guards suffice

## Theorem

$\frac{n}{3}$ guards are always sufficient and sometimes necessary to guard a simple polygon with $n$ vertices.

## Text Book

- Berg, M., D., Kreveld, M., V., Overmars, M., and Schwarzkopf, O., Computational Geometry: Algorithms and Applications, 3rd Edition, Springer, 2008
- Preparata, F., and Shamos, M., Computational Geometry, Springer-Verlag, 1985

